



DEVELOPMENT PLAN PANEL

Meeting to be held in Civic Hall, Leeds on
Tuesday, 6th January, 2009
at 1.30 pm

MEMBERSHIP

Councillors

B Anderson
J Blake
B Cleasby

C Fox (Chair)
P Gruen
T Hanley

R Harker
T Leadley
A Ogilvie

A Parnham

Agenda compiled by:
Tel no:
Governance Services
Civic Hall
LEEDS
LS1 1UR

Gerard Watson
395 2194

A G E N D A

Item No	Ward	Item Not Open		Page No
1			<p>APPEALS AGAINST REFUSAL OF INSPECTION OF DOCUMENTS</p> <p>To consider any appeals in accordance with Procedure Rule 25 of the Access to Information Rules (in the event of an Appeal the press and public will be excluded)</p> <p>(*In accordance with Procedure Rule 25, written notice of an appeal must be received by the Chief Democratic Services Officer at least 24 hours before the meeting)</p>	
2			<p>EXEMPT INFORMATION - POSSIBLE EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC</p> <p>1 To highlight reports or appendices which officers have identified as containing exempt information, and where officers consider that the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information, for the reasons outlined in the report.</p> <p>2 To consider whether or not to accept the officers recommendation in respect of the above information.</p> <p>3 If so, to formally pass the following resolution:-</p> <p>RESOLVED – That the press and public be excluded from the meeting during consideration of those parts of the agenda designated as containing exempt information on the grounds that it is likely, in view of the nature of the business to be transacted or the nature of the proceedings, that if members of the press and public were present there would be disclosure to them of exempt information.</p>	

Item No	Ward	Item Not Open		Page No
3			<p>LATE ITEMS</p> <p>To identify items which have been admitted to the agenda by the Chair for consideration.</p> <p>(The special circumstance shall be specified in the minutes).</p>	
4			<p>DECLARATION OF INTERESTS</p> <p>To declare any personal / prejudicial interests for the purpose of Section 81(3) of the Local Government Act 2000 and paragraphs 8 to 12 of the Members' Code of Conduct.</p>	
5			<p>APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE</p> <p>To receive any apologies for absence from the meeting.</p>	
6			<p>MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING</p> <p>To approve the minutes of the Development Plan Panel meeting held on Tuesday, 2nd December 2008.</p>	1 - 4
7			<p>THE HOUSING CHALLENGE: THE YORKSHIRE AND HUMBER PLAN - 2009 UPDATE</p> <p>To consider the report of the Director of City Development presenting the proposed response to the informal consultation on the 2009 Update to the Yorkshire & Humber Plan and recommending that the Panel refers the response to Executive Board for approval and submission to the Yorkshire & Humber Regional Assembly by the 30th January 2009 deadline.</p>	5 - 24

Item No	Ward	Item Not Open		Page No
8			<p>LEEDS LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK AREA ACTION PLANS - PROGRESS REPORT</p> <p>To consider the report of the Director of City Development providing an update on the progress which has been made and the further technical work undertaken in preparation for the submission of the Area Action Plans for independent examination.</p>	25 - 34
9			<p>DATE AND TIME OF NEXT MEETING</p> <p>1.30 p.m. on Tuesday, 3rd February 2009 in the Civic Hall, Leeds.</p>	

Development Plan Panel

Tuesday, 2nd December, 2008

PRESENT: Councillor C Fox in the Chair

Councillors J Blake, T Hanley, R Harker,
T Leadley, A Ogilvie and A Parnham

18 Chair's Opening Remarks

The Chair welcomed all in attendance to the meeting, particularly Councillor Parnham who was attending his first meeting as a member of Development Plan Panel.

19 Apologies for Absence

Apologies for absence from the meeting were received on behalf of Councillors Anderson and Gruen.

20 Minutes of the Previous Meeting

RESOLVED - That the minutes of the Development Plan Panel meeting held on 4th November 2008 be approved as a correct record, subject to Minute No. 16 being revised to read as follows:-

'The Panel discussed and questioned the targets relating to housing provision within the document, bearing in mind the current economic situation'.

21 Matters Arising from the Minutes

Leeds' Local Development Framework Core Strategy – 'Towards Preferred Options' – Update Report (Minute No. 15 refers)

Following the Panel's recommendation to the Executive Member for Development and Regeneration to increase the number of Development Plan Panel representatives on the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) partnership from one to three, Members were advised that the Chair had since been appointed to the partnership as the second representative of the Panel.

Discussions relating to the political composition of the two appointments which had been made to the SHLAA partnership then ensued and it was suggested that the matter of appointing Development Plan Panel representatives to the SHLAA partnership was referred to Group Whips for further consideration.

22 Unitary Development Plan Review 2006 "Saved" Policies Assessment

A report was submitted by the Director of City Development proposing which of the Unitary Development Plan (UDP) policies introduced or updated as part of the UDP Review in 2006 should be 'saved' and which should be 'deleted'.

In considering the report the Panel was invited to recommend to Executive Board for approval and submission to the Secretary of State that 196 of the

198 policies which had been introduced or updated as part of the UDP Review should be 'saved' and the remaining 2 should be 'deleted'.

Members noted that the report's recommendations had been formed following the conclusion of an assessment of the relevant policies which had been undertaken in accordance with government guidance.

The Panel then discussed several key issues detailed within the report. The main areas of debate were as follows:-

- Members noted that there was a requirement for the authority to submit its list of 'saved' policies to the Secretary of State by 18th January 2009;
- The Panel made enquiries into the impact that the relevant policies had in relation to green sites;
- Members discussed the length of time that the 'saved' policies would be automatically 'saved' for;
- Having made enquiries into the two community involvement policies which were proposed to be deleted, the Panel was assured that the deletion of such policies would not create a vacuum in the relevant areas;
- Members noted that gradually, further UDP policies would be superseded as new LDF policies were adopted.

RESOLVED –

- (a). That the proposals detailed within the report to 'save' and 'delete' those Unitary Development Plan policies which have been introduced or updated as part of the 2006 UDP Review be endorsed;
- (b). That Executive Board be recommended to approve the proposals detailed within the report to 'save' and 'delete' those Unitary Development Plan policies which have been introduced or updated as part of the 2006 UDP Review for submission to the Secretary of State.

(Councillor Harker arrived at 1.50 p.m. during the consideration of this item)

23 Leeds Local Development Framework: Annual Monitoring Report 2008

The Director of City Development submitted a report introducing Leeds' Local Development Framework (LDF) Annual Monitoring Report (AMR) for 2008 which presented the authority's performance in relation to specific planning policies and summarised the progress made with respect to the Leeds LDF during the period 1st April 2007 to 31st March 2008.

Members noted that the report also invited the Panel to recommend the 2008 Annual Monitoring Report to Executive Board for approval and submission to the Secretary of State by the 31st December 2008 deadline.

Having received a brief introduction to the report, a question and answer session then ensued. The main areas of debate were as follows:-

- Members made enquiries into the actions being taken to meet the targets which related to the provision of gypsy and traveller pitches in the city and whether such targets were achievable;
- The Panel discussed the 'managed targets' within the report which related to the future provision of additional dwellings across the city, enquired

about how such targets had been compiled and whether the targets for future years would be adjusted in order to reflect current economic conditions;

- Members suggested that the data presented within future AMRs was presented in a consistent format, and wherever possible was accompanied by comparative historical data;
- The Panel discussed the levels of employment development which had been achieved within the city's designated regeneration areas during 2007/08 and received further information on the variation of such levels over recent years;
- Enquiries were made into the extent to which the housing trajectories detailed within the report took the current market conditions into consideration;
- Further information was received on the methodology used when undertaking Sustainability Appraisals;
- The Panel made enquiries into the ways in which the targets relating to the development of renewable energy capacity in Leeds would be met;
- Following Members' discussions and questions, the Panel received further information on the authority's year on year performance with regard to the levels of access that residents of new dwellings had to various community facilities. The Panel then highlighted the significance of such data and discussed the possibility of it being more widely circulated in the future;
- Members received further detail on the timescales and procedures in place with respect to the formal submission of the 2008 Annual Monitoring Report to the Secretary of State.

RESOLVED – That Executive Board be recommended to approve Leeds' Local Development Framework Annual Monitoring Report 2008 for submission to the Secretary of State pursuant to Regulation 48 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004.

24 Date and time of next meeting

Tuesday, 6th January 2009 at 1.30 p.m. in the Civic Hall, Leeds.

This page is intentionally left blank



Originator: David Feeney

Tel:0113 2474539

Report of the Director of City Development

Development Plan Panel

Date: 6 January 2009

Subject: The Housing Challenge: The Yorkshire & Humber Plan – 2009 Update

Electoral Wards Affected:

All

Ward Members consulted
(referred to in report)

Specific Implications For:

Equality and Diversity

Community Cohesion

Narrowing the Gap

Eligible for Call In

Not Eligible for Call In
(Details contained in the report)

Executive Summary

1. Following the Adoption of the Regional Spatial Strategy (The Yorkshire & Humber Plan) in May 2008, the Yorkshire & Humber Assembly are currently undertaking informal consultation on a 2009 Update. The focus of the consultation material is upon how to 'accommodate the Region's need for new homes over the next two decades'. Within this context, the "Spatial Options" consultation material sets out a number of key questions regarding the scale and distribution of housing growth and how to best meet the accommodation needs of gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. The attached report (and detailed response included as Appendix 1 to this covering report), sets out the City Council's proposed consultation response.
2. Within the context of the RSS, the City Council's Full Council (November) has unanimously agreed the following resolution:

"That this Council believes that the Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) target of 4740 houses per year should be scrapped with immediate effect to allow local authorities to make a more realistic decision based on local economic factors and housing need".
3. The deadline for comments on the material is 30 January 2009 and the attached comments are also scheduled to be considered by Executive Board on 14 January. Following this, in spring 2009, the Assembly are currently intending to prepare draft revisions to the Plan (policies for housing and setting out draft proposals for where development should take place in the longer term), with formal consultation on these revisions to take place in summer 2009.

1.0 Purpose of this report

- 1.1 Following consideration by Development Plan Panel, the purpose of this report is for Executive Board to consider the proposed response to the informal consultation on the 2009 Update to the Yorkshire & Humber Plan.

2.0 Background information

- 2.1 The Yorkshire & Humber Plan was adopted in May 2008 and within the context of longer term housing growth issues, the Yorkshire & Humber Assembly are currently undertaking informal consultation on 'spatial options'. Central to the consultation is the scale and distribution of future housing growth.

- 2.2 As members will be aware, throughout the preparation of the Regional Spatial Strategy process, the City Council (whilst supporting the Plan's commitment to regeneration, the need for affordable housing, the role of Leeds City Centre and the need for sustainable development) has vigorously opposed the scale of housing growth envisaged for Leeds in the Plan. This has been on the basis that such proposals are unrealistic in terms of their scale, there are concerns that this will have an adverse impact upon the city's environmental capital and delivery of major regeneration projects. Furthermore, the City Council has expressed concern that such proposals are not supported by any certainty or resources to deliver the step change in infrastructure (to support both existing and future patterns of development) considered necessary to implement the Plan's objectives.

3.0 Main issues

- 3.1 The 'spatial options' consultation material provides an overview of the need to take a longer term view of development in the region and states that the Update needs to focus on the following key concerns:

- The Plan's strategy for the location of development
- 'Eco -Town and 'growth point' proposals
- The scale of house building that will be needed in the Region
- Co-ordinating development with the provision of infrastructure
- Climate change & flood risk
- Planning at Sub regional and local levels
- The development of the Single Integrated Regional Strategy

- 3.2 Notwithstanding the above range of concerns, following a summary of the Plan's evidence base, the consultation material includes a series of questions which focus primarily upon the scale and distribution of housing growth and upon meeting the accommodation needs of gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople. A detailed response to issues associated with the evidence base and specific questions relating to Leeds is included as Appendix 1 to this covering report.

- 3.3 It is accepted that for planning purposes, it is necessary to seek to take a longer term view, as a basis to set an overall direction for development and to coordinate the provision of infrastructure. However, central to the City Council's proposed response, is a fundamental concern that the Update's agenda for housing growth, is predicated and devised around an evidence base which predates the current decline in the housing market, economic slow down and recession. It is the Council's view therefore, that if a realistic and tenable Update is to be taken forward, this needs to be dramatically recalibrated to reflect prevailing circumstances and also anticipate that when the economy recovers, the strategy takes account of the

consequences and potential impact of economic restructuring across the region. Within this context, it should be noted that at the time of drafting this report, further technical work had been commissioned through the Leeds City Region regarding the need to review the economic and housing assumptions (in the light of current circumstances), which underpin the RSS Update. It is anticipated that this work will be concluded by the consultation deadline of 30 January and any relevant findings incorporated into the City Council's response. Against this framework, the City Council's response sets out a strategy to provide a basis to move towards a more sustainable future whilst addressing immediate and interim planning issues. This needs to include the following measures:

- The Update needs to recognise current economic and housing market conditions and set out a strategy, which is appropriate for these circumstances. This should retain a longer term view which plans for economic competitiveness and recovery, investment in climate change resilience and public transport, whilst supporting continued investment in skills within the labour market,
- Central to this approach from a housing perspective, is the need to reinstate within the Plan a phased (and 'planned, monitored and managed') approach to housing delivery. This is necessary, not only to give much needed clarity to the delivery of housing requirements but also to facilitate a more realistic approach to delivery (and to manage the uncertainty of future years) and to give immediate emphasis to supporting the housing market in the light of challenging circumstances (rather than entirely promoting unrealistic growth scenarios),
- The Update needs to be upfront in addressing key issues, such as housing affordability and the need to maintain momentum and confidence in major regeneration projects. In the immediate and intermediate period the Update needs to set out a strategy and delivery plan for the infrastructure investment required to support ongoing regeneration and growth as integral elements. A planned and managed approach to regeneration and targeted growth, where this is still necessary and feasible needs to be clearly established,
- Central to this approach is the need for the Update to support the housing affordability and market interventions being pursued by the City Council (including the work of the Affordable Housing Strategic Partnership Board, engaging with developers; registered social (and private sector) landlords and lending institutions, addressing homelessness, the development and implementation of a revised affordable housing supplementary planning document and the delivery of Private Finance Initiatives (including Little London),
- Linked to the City Council's desire to support a range of housing initiatives, the Update also needs to give greater emphasis and support to opportunities for 'growth' in the short term where they do exist. For example, the City Council has been vigorous in its support for an 'Urban eco-settlement' within the Aire Valley as a means of regeneration and to deliver an exemplar of sustainable development,
- A prerequisite of the opportunity for the Update to manage the 'down turn' and to assist with economic recovery, is the need to secure the delivery of a range of economic and infrastructure initiatives. These include, for example, the Leeds City Region Transport Vision through the procurement and implementation of the following schemes/interventions:
 - Incremental upgrade to core radial bus routes, procurement of new vehicles, bus priority etc
 - Phase 1 of NGT (potentially Stourton and city centre)
 - Additional parking at railway stations
 - Extra 100 rail carriages (as per Rail White Paper)
 - A65 Quality Bus Corridor
 - Bus based park and ride at M1 Junction 45
 - Bus based park and ride on M621 South-West Corridor
 - East Leeds Parkway (new railway station by 2014)
 - New station at Woodside Quarry including Park & Ride (post 2014)
 - New station at Apperley Bridge including Park & Ride (2011)
 - New station at Kirkstall Forge (2011)
 - Expansion of the Metrocard to cover the City Region
 - Green travel plans, integrated / smart ticketing and revised parking policy, walking

- and cycling measures
 - Junction improvements to the A6120 outer ring road;
 - Minor changes to the city centre road network;
 - Motorway capacity improvements (between M1 junctions 35-42 and M62 junctions 25-29);
 - Implementation of the Yorcard.
- The above short term strategy needs to have regard to the Yorkshire & Humber economy as a whole, the inter relationships of sub areas both within and adjacent to the region, together with the settlement hierarchy and travel to work dynamics at an individual sub regional and city region level.

4.0 Implications for council policy and governance

4.1 The City Council's Full Council (November) has unanimously agreed the following resolution:

"That this Council believes that the Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) target of 4740 houses per year should be scrapped with immediate effect to allow local authorities to make a more realistic decision based on local economic factors and housing need.

This Council notes the comprehensive approach taken by this administration to address housing issues in the city, including:-

- The continued development of the Easel Project,
- Wide ranging plans to tackle poor housing standards in the private sector with an initial focus on tackling excess cold,
- The development and establishment of an Affordable Housing Strategic Partnership to maximise the development and delivery of affordable housing in the city,
- The delivery of multi million pound Housing PFI projects in Swarcliffe, Little London and Beeston Hill and Holbeck, with further plans to secure £270m to tackle older people's housing,
- The delivery of the first new council homes in Leeds for over 20 years,
- Significant reductions in the number of homeless people living in the city,
- Continuing delivery of the Social Housing decency programme,
- Increased assistance to vulnerable people through disabled facilities grants."

5.0 Legal and resource implications

5.1 The preparation of Leeds Local Development Framework documents, need to be in general conformity with the Regional Spatial Strategy (Yorkshire & Humber Plan).

6.0 Conclusions

6.1 This covering report and detailed comments (included as Appendix 1), sets out a detailed response to the 'spatial options' consultation material

7.0 Recommendations

7.1 Development Plan Panel is recommended to:

- i). To recommend that the City Council's Executive Board, agrees the consultation response for submission to the Yorkshire & Humber Regional Assembly (for the 30 January 2009 consultation deadline)

APPENDIX 1

The Housing Challenge

The Yorkshire & Humber Plan – 2009 Update

Spatial Options

Response form Leeds City Council

The Housing Challenge
The Yorkshire & Humber Plan – 2009 Update
Spatial Options

Leeds City Council Response

1. Overview Response

1.1 Throughout the RSS process, the City Council has consistently raised fundamental concerns regarding the scale and distribution of housing growth proposed for Leeds. Linked to this, the Council has also expressed reservations regarding the absence of a sufficiently funded, phased and robust delivery plan to support such growth. In addition, the City Council has emphasised that there is a need for major investment infrastructure to support both *existing* patterns of regeneration and development (such as public transport and managing flood risk), as well as infrastructure to supporting *unprecedented levels of growth proposed in the Plan*. The 2009 Update, in turn proposes further levels of growth, without any further certainty about infrastructure or details as to how such levels of growth can be managed without detrimental impact.

1.2 The 2009 Update consultation is primarily focused upon the delivery of higher levels of housing growth (beyond the already challenging requirements of the Adopted Plan). Since the Update has been published for consultation economic and housing market conditions have severely deteriorated. Within this context, the City Council's Full Council (November) has unanimously agreed the following resolution:

“That this Council believes that the Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) target of 4740 houses per year should be scrapped with immediate effect to allow local authorities to make a more realistic decision based on local economic factors and housing need.

This Council notes the comprehensive approach taken by this administration to address housing issues in the city, including:-

- The continued development of the Easel Project,
- Wide ranging plans to tackle poor housing standards in the private sector with an initial focus on tackling excess cold,
- The development and establishment of an Affordable Housing Strategic Partnership to maximise the development and delivery of affordable housing in the city,
- The delivery of multi million pound Housing PFI projects in Swarcliffe, Little London and Beeston Hill and Holbeck, with further plans to secure £270m to tackle older people's housing,
- The delivery of the first new council homes in Leeds for over 20 years,
- Significant reductions in the number of homeless people living in the city,
- Continuing delivery of the Social Housing decency programme,
- Increased assistance to vulnerable people through disabled facilities grants.”

1.3 The City Council recognises the fundamental need for housing and as emphasised above, is pressing, with some urgency - through a wider range of initiatives to tackle issues of affordability, provision and the wider regeneration of communities. Whilst the RSS Update material seeks to set out a longer term approach and provides evidence of some of the drivers for change, the information predates the current economic slow down, recession and dramatic decline in the housing market. This

therefore calls into question the basic assumptions of the approach and the envisaged timescales for implementation. In recent months housing delivery has dramatically slowed, the house building industry has contracted and there is even less certainty regarding the scale of investment required to sustain the 'growth agenda'. Given these prevailing conditions, a strategy for longer term growth, struggles to be tenable given the strategic consequences of economic restructuring and downturn in the housing market. As a basis to tackle longer term issues of regeneration, investment and strategic development, the emphasis of the Update needs to be changed in order to focus upon immediate issues in the short term, to enable the region to be better placed to take advantage of a future up turn.

- 1.4 The 2009 Update includes within it a sustainability appraisal and a scoping report. This identifies key sustainability issues to be addressed in the region. It is not evident from the consultation questions and physical distribution of development, how these underlying issues will be ameliorated. Of particular concern, given the potential scale of growth envisaged – is the impact of the 2009 Update upon environmental capacity or how the 'strategy for growth', will sufficiently address climate change adaptation and mitigation (especially in the light of challenging CO2 targets announced by the Government in December). Linked to the issue of the spatial distribution of growth, is the issue of managing flood risk. Whilst it is understood that a Regional Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (RSFRA) has been completed, it is not clear how this relates to more detailed Strategic Flood Risk Assessments (SFRAs) within local authority areas (see 2.5 below). It is appreciated that such issues are challenging. However, without any direct recognition of the direct links between physical development, urban and environmental capacity, a longer term strategy for housing growth is not only contingent upon the wider economic and housing conditions (noted above) but the ability to put in place the necessary interventions to achieve other key aspects of the Plan's Core approach. These include also the need to manage flood risk and adaptation and mitigation measures (which are likely to become a more significant policy issue as a consequence of the Climate Change Bill).

2. Comments on the Evidence base

2.1 Scale of Housing growth

The testing methodology begins with the 2006 Population projections; various adjustments are then made to convert population into households and then need for houses. A fundamental flaw in this methodology is that the 2006 Population Projections use migration trends over the previous 5 years to project future population growth. The previous five years up to 2006 was a period of particular economic growth and buoyancy, which led to unprecedented gains in net international migration into all parts of the UK, including Yorkshire. It is extremely unlikely to be representative of the whole RSS period to 2026, which will experience significantly reduced in migration during the current economic downturn. If the RSS 2009 update housing growth figures (25,100 to 30,000 p.a.) are to be properly tested as being robust, the 2006 population projections need to be adjusted to reflect a more realistic long term trend in international migration.

A key feature of the draft RSS, was a recognition that a 'plan, monitor and managed' approach was necessary for longer term housing delivery. In the light of current economic and housing circumstances, it is the Council's view that such an approach needs to be reinstated in any 'Update' going forward. Such an approach in turn, would help clarify how and via what means housing targets might be 'stepped up' and also specify how RSS targets relate to more realistic housing levels being

identified in Local Area Agreements. Within this context, the City Council has had agreement with CLG that 3,400 is a realistic housing target (over a 3 year period) and is currently in discussion to further review the figure to a lower aspirational target, given current economic and housing conditions. In providing a framework for such adjustments, the RSS needs to identify phasing mechanisms and an ability to 'roll forward' targets as necessary. This would enable a more realistic assessment of delivery to be made and avoid the need for local planning authorities (including Leeds) to achieve impossible targets towards the end of the plan period.

2.2 Economic Growth

The current economic downturn, duration of the recession and implications of ongoing economic restructuring, post dates the adopted RSS and the assumptions which underpin the Update. As a consequence, basic assumptions upon which the existing Plan and spatial options are precipitated need to be recalibrated in the light of such dramatic circumstances. This is essential in order for any ongoing update to have credibility and cohesion.

It is to be hoped that the current economic downturn is short lived and that any adverse effects in the region are minimised. In the meantime, in revisiting the economic assumptions of 'growth' and longer term delivery, the future Update needs to be upfront in addressing these challenging circumstances and realign its strategy accordingly. Central to this is the need to maintain momentum and confidence in major regeneration projects as a key priority. This needs to include a package of measures to deal with the current downturn and recognise that there will be a transitional period until full economic recovery. Consequently, emphasis needs to be given to housing affordability & access to finance, maintaining economic capacity and positioning the region to access additional investment. Such investment in turn needs to be geared to climate change resilience, public transport investment and exploring opportunities (where necessary) to relocalise the economy, as a basis to facilitate sustainable regeneration, quality of place and an economy which is well placed to be competitive in the longer term.

2.3 Housing Affordability & Sub Regional Markets

Affordability

The Update needs to be more sensitive to and more explicitly address the issue of 'affordability' and access to the housing market. The ambition to improve housing affordability through a dramatically increased land supply assumes that the house building industry has the capacity to built at such rates for the Plan period, that the infrastructure will be delivered to achieve sustainable communities (as required of the Plan's Core Approach) and that such dwellings once built, will be affordable to those in greatest need.

From a Leeds perspective, there is evidence that suggests that the Update's proposals are not only unrealistic and undeliverable but that the underlying assumptions of the proposals, need to be revisited in the light of current and emerging economic conditions. In the light of current and ongoing economic circumstances, there is a belief that once normal lending conditions and public confidence returns, there is very likely to be a rapid price growth against reduced supply that will drive house prices up, which in turn spells a return to worsening affordability. This is due to the fact that house building rates have fallen so dramatically (32% across the north in the past year) and that this decline will in all likelihood continue until the end of 2010. The consequent knock on effect on the

building industry will then take many months to stabilise and then improve. Although house prices will continue to drop during 2010, this will slow over the year. In Leeds, patterns of house price reductions are not consistent across the city with affordability in the short to medium term still being a major issue in terms of people being able to move within the housing market, which will lead to an increase in private sector renting with citizens choosing location and quality over the traditional desire to own a property.

In taking proactive steps to address the key issue of housing affordability (linked to a range of issues across Leeds), the City Council in association with key partners has established the Affordable Housing Strategic Partnership Board (AHSPB) with the remit to deliver affordable housing. To date, 87 acres of Council owned land has been transferred to the Partnership to be sold at 'less than best consideration' to Housing Associations so that they can obtain grant funding from the Housing Corporation. The first phase of this land is now being developed (5 acres) and has attracted significant public funding and private investment to deliver affordable housing. The successful HA is in the process of interviewing contractors with the view to a start on site anticipated in March 2009. A rolling programme of building work is envisaged. The land in question is Brownfield. The Partnership is also working ensure that affordable housing is delivered through the planning process and is contributing to the delivery of the LAA targets, which like the RSS targets are under review. Nevertheless the Partnership is working to maximise the delivery of new and access to affordable housing and related solutions using Government resources.

In addition to the establishment of the AHSPB, the City Council is also proactively progressing a range of initiatives to promote affordability and to stimulate the housing market. These include:

Engaging with Developers:

A range of local developers have been in contact with the City Council to discuss the possibility of Housing Associations with the support of the local authority purchasing individual or a block of properties, where funding could be attracted through the Homes and Communities Agency.

Engaging with a Registered Social Landlords:

As noted above, through the Affordable Housing Strategic Partnership eight sites are being developed across the city that will deliver 190 units of affordable housing. Attracting £4.6m of Homes and Communities Agency funding and £18.4 of private sector through Accent Housing Group who secured funding for the sites as part of the National Affordable Housing Programme 2008/11.

Engaging with Lending and Other Institutions:

The Leeds Affordable Housing Strategic Partnership has responded to the challenges of improving the delivery of affordable housing by having a number of key players on the Board. Representations are being made for a member of the Council of Mortgage Lenders to become a Board member. In relation to Community Investment and Land Trusts discussions have taken place with the Regional Stock Exchange could lead to the development of such a model within the city.

Private Sector Landlords:

The development of Leeds Landlord Accreditation scheme has been a key mechanism of working with private sector landlords and is one of the types of scheme envisaged within the Rugg review. The aims of the scheme have been to encourage, acknowledge and actively promote good standards of privately rented

accommodation and to assist landlords and tenants to undertake their respective responsibilities to each other. The scheme also encourages and acknowledges responsible behaviour by tenants through an Accredited Tenant Scheme. The scheme comprises an element of self-regulation and accordingly relies on a degree of goodwill and trust on the parts of landlords, tenants and the Local Authority. The scheme applies to the private rented sector only and not to Local Authority owned or Housing Association properties where other Service Level Agreements apply. On a year on year basis since the inception of the scheme the number of bed spaces and numbers of landlords have increased. This approach together with the investment from the Regional Housing Board and the authorities funds have led to improving the quality of private sector stock in relation to renewal and facelift programmes, energy affiance measures and the PAL scheme that has been operating across West Yorkshire. These approaches also contribute towards responding to the Empty Property Strategy and the targeting of areas around the city centre 'rim' (Cross Green, East End Park, Beeston) to reduce the level of private sector vacant properties and to improve the housing offer that private sector landlords provide as well as the increase of private sector stock meeting the decency standard.

Homelessness:

The Homelessness situation in Leeds has seen a rise in presentations from October to December 2007 the number presenting was 194. October to November 2008 has increased to 423. The collapse of the buy to let market has had an effect on those people renting in the private sector and along with mortgage arrears has led in part to this increased figure. A mortgage rescue scheme is being launched by the Golden Triangle Partnership (of which Leeds is a member). The national mortgage rescue scheme is also being announced. Leeds CC will endeavour to utilise the scheme as much as possible to help people in danger of losing their home.

Planning Policy:

The City Council is currently in the process of producing a new Supplementary Planning Document on Affordable Housing with the intention of increasing the supply of affordable housing secured via planning policy. The new policy is applicable to new housing, which fall within the Class C3 dwelling houses of the Use Class Order. It is proposed that any application for residential development of 5 or more residential units has to provide affordable housing in line with policy.

The three main housing areas and percentages of affordable housing required are:

City Centre Housing Zone	15%
Inner Areas Housing Zone	25%
Outer Housing Zone	35%

The split in affordable housing tenure is that 70% should be intermediate affordable housing and 30% social rented affordable housing. This will be irrespective of the housing zone in which a development lies.

Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA):

Within the context of national guidance and the Adopted RSS, the City Council is undertaking a SHLAA. The purpose of this is to identify any developable land. The process is underway and all landowners have been written to and asked to put forward developable sites.

Private Finance Initiatives (PFI)

The regeneration element of the Little London and Beeston Hill and Holbeck PFI schemes are currently being taken forward. The annual contribution to the affordable housing target figure will be in the region of n100 units per annum over a period of 5 years.

In the light of current circumstances, the City Council considers that the above housing measures and interventions are essential practical steps to address housing affordability. The RSS Update, therefore needs to fully recognise such issues at a local level and their critical importance to housing delivery. Consequently, there is a need for the RSS Update to revise and reduce the longer term targets for housing growth, to ensure that they are sensitive to and deliverable within the context of local circumstances

Sub Regional Markets

The Spatial Options consultation material, provides some information on the 'affordability of the housing market' (Page 14) and Sub Regional Housing Markets (Page 16). It is not clear when this information dates from but in the light of subsequent and dramatic changes to the housing market, such information needs to be reviewed.

The effects of the changes in economic conditions over the past year have clearly had a significant impact on the Leeds Housing Market and due to the wider economic effects the slowdown in economy, these influences have had a subsequent effect on the surrounding housing markets within North West and to a lesser extent South Yorkshire.

It has to be recognised that the nature of the housing market across the sub region, has a direct correlation to the Leeds Housing Market. For instance, significant areas of North Leeds have similar housing market conditions to that of Harrogate and York. This has already been recognised with the development of the "Golden Triangle Partnership", which has striven to create affordable housing solutions in areas, which have similar housing market conditions to that of the South East. In areas of West Leeds such as Pudsey, there are direct links with the housing market in East Bradford together with a range of business links that have been identified within the emerging work relating to the Leeds\Bradford corridor.

2.4 Travel to Work Patterns

The Spatial Options (Page 16) provide details of Travel to Work Patterns across the region. It is not clear to what extent the Spatial Options are seeking to redirect such patterns or to manage them. Furthermore, it is not evident how such patterns might be influenced by longer term economic restructuring, modal shift or levels of current (and planned additional capacity), to sustain or redirect travel to work patterns. Clearly, the delivery of future patterns of regeneration and growth raise issues regarding the capacity of the transport system to cope with additional trips within and to and from Leeds. It should be emphasised that development needs to be able to support sustainable attractive transport improvements - whether catering for more dispersed local trips or longer distance trips as a result of its location and the location of employment opportunities.

2.5 Regional Flood Risk Assessment

At the RSS Proposed Changes stage, the City Council submitted its own SFRA as part of its consultation response. This detailed flood risk issues within Leeds main urban area and across Leeds MD as a whole. It is not clear in the RSS Update how the management of flood risk (both fluvial and surface water) within the main urban area of Leeds has been addressed and to what extent major interventions have been identified to make proposals for further major growth possible or viable. It is understood from the Yorkshire & Humber Assembly web site that a request has been made to tender for a Regional Climate Change Adaptation Study. It is not clear if this study has been tendered and if so what the findings are or how these may relate to the spatial options (and the nature / cost of any mitigation / adaptation measures).

2.6 Accommodating growth in Sub Areas

This needs to take into account not only the relationship of sub regional housing markets and travel to work patterns but also issues of longer term regeneration and 'growth' linked to managing flood risk and climate change factors. Such approaches need to operate in an integrated way to ensure that the longer term prosperity, competitiveness and sustainability of the region is not just exclusively linked to the delivery of unrealistic housing numbers.

3.0 Response to Consultation Questions

How much housing should we be planning to provide in the future ?

QUESTION 1:

What rate of house building should we be planning for within the region ?

- *Given current economic conditions, a longer term strategy for housing growth needs to be reappraised. Whilst it is helpful to set an overall strategic direction (for a future 'up turn' in the economy), such an approach at this stage – to be tenable, will need to be heavily caveated and linked to pathways for delivery.*
- *Fundamental assumptions need to be revised re. economic growth rates, migration patterns & mechanisms for housing delivery.*
- *The RSS should provide advice on how local authorities to economic and housing market downturns in terms of housing release policy i.e. if undersupply is a result of economic conditions, this should counter need to release Greenfield sites.*
- *The City Council considers that central to this approach needs to be the reinstatement within the Plan of a phased (and 'planned, monitored and managed)' approach to housing delivery. This is necessary, not only to give much needed clarity to the delivery of housing requirements but also to facilitate a more realistic approach to delivery (and to manage the uncertainty of future years) and to give immediate emphasis to supporting the housing market in the light of challenging circumstances (rather than entirely promoting unrealistic growth scenarios).*

The distribution of housing provision

QUESTION 2

If the Region were to introduce a step – up of housing from 22,260 dwellings per year to Levels 2, 3, 4 or 5 (Question 1) how soon do you think this could take place and please provide reasons ?

- *Given the current economic and housing conditions, it difficult, if not impossible to state with any certainty if such a 'step up' (in addition to already demanding targets within the adopted Plan) will be possible and when, indeed it is not clear whether the current figure of 22,260 can be achieved. Economic restructuring is taking place and the housing building industry (upon which the Plan is largely dependent upon for delivery) has dramatically contracted.*

QUESTION 3

In looking at where new homes needed in the Region could be located, should we continue to use existing RSS distribution ? If yes, please summarise your reasons below.

- *No, a spatial distribution needs to be developed which safeguards the longer term economic development and regeneration of Leeds, whilst maintaining the unique character of the District, recognise environmental limits and the need to adapt and mitigate to the consequences of climate change,*
- *The current adopted Plan, places major emphasis upon Leeds. This provides a major challenge in terms of delivery and given current circumstances is not realistic. Notwithstanding this there are also major issues regarding environmental capacity, the capacity of existing infrastructure and little certainty regarding the provision of infrastructure (of an appropriate scale) to deliver planned growth.*

QUESTION 4

If no, tell us how much emphasis you think should be placed on the following factors in shaping where new homes are located. Please rate each factor on a scale of 1 – 5 (1 least important, 5 most important).

Matching housing growth with forecast economic change

- *Given the current economic and housing market conditions, RSS forecasting is evidently insufficiently responsive to actual trends.*
- *Very difficult given current circumstances and future uncertainties.*

Addressing affordability

- *Yes*

Meeting new household growth trends

- *OPCS forecasting is insufficiently responsive to actual changes in trends.*
- *Need to review what future projections are in the light of current circumstances*

Reflecting market demand

- *Need to review in the light of current circumstances (housing market collapse)*

Other

- *Ability to manage flood risk / environmental capacity*
- *Capacity of existing infrastructure*
- *Availability of future planned infrastructure*

QUESTION 5

Do you consider that the Plan's Core Approach (Spatial Option 1) can accommodate current levels of housing growth to 2026 ? If, yes, please state why and provide any evidence that you have to support this view.

- *Not in Leeds*
- *Given the increase in the housing requirement at the time of adoption – without changing the nature of the overall Core Approach, it is questionable whether the Core Approach can deliver its own policy objectives simultaneously (i.e. achieving dramatically higher levels of housing growth - in areas susceptible to flood risk but with more stringent controls on development in such areas, whilst defining and protecting an integrated network of Green Infrastructure and addressing climate change).*
- *Leeds Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA)*
- *Environmental baseline information tabled at the RSS Examination in Public as a Core Document*
- *Weakness in housing market. Adversity to risk means that house builders likely to avoid city centre & inner urban areas*

QUESTION 6

If you consider that the Plan's Core Approach cannot accommodate current levels of house building within the Region, please tell us which Spatial Option (s) you think should be used to deliver the current RSS housing requirements of 22,260 homes per year to 2026 ?

- *The RSS Update is being promoted in very challenging and uncertain economic conditions. The Update needs therefore to fundamentally recognise that the evidence base upon which both the existing adopted RSS and RSS Update have been based has been dramatically superseded by more recent and profound economic change. The reality of this regrettably is economic recession and the collapse of the housing market. At the current time, it is uncertain how long such circumstances will prevail and what form a restructured economy will take (and the local/spatial implications of this) once conditions begin to improve. Given the nature of these circumstances and the scale of growth currently envisaged, the Update needs to be recalibrated in order to put forward a more realistic and deliverable strategy,*
- *In going forward, the Update therefore needs to be revisited and realigned to help 'lead the way'. This should retain a longer term view which plans for investment in climate change resilience, public transport and local energy supply (as basic attributes of a competitive 21st century low carbon economy) – whilst supporting continued investment in skills within the labour market,*
- *Central to this approach from a housing perspective, is the need to reinstate within the Plan a phased (and 'planned, monitored and managed') approach to housing delivery. This is necessary, not only to give much needed clarity to the delivery of housing requirements but also to facilitate a more realistic approach to delivery (and to manage the uncertainty of future years) and to give immediate emphasis to supporting the housing market in the light of challenging circumstances (rather than entirely promoting unrealistic growth scenarios),*
- *In the immediate term, the Update needs to be upfront in addressing key issues, such as housing affordability and the need to maintain momentum and confidence in major regeneration projects. In the immediate and intermediate period the Update needs to set out a strategy and delivery plan for the infrastructure investment required to support ongoing regeneration and growth as integral*

elements. A planned and managed approach to regeneration and targeted growth, where this is still necessary and feasible needs to be clearly established,

- *Central to this approach is the need for the Update to support the housing affordability and market interventions being pursued by the City Council (as described in section 2.3 above),*
- *Linked to the City Council's desire to support a range of housing initiatives, the Update also needs to give greater emphasis and support to opportunities for 'growth' in the short term where they do exist. For example, the City Council has been vigorous in its support for an 'Urban eco-settlement' within the Aire Valley as a means of regeneration and to deliver an exemplar of sustainable development,*
- *A prerequisite of the opportunity for the Update to manage the 'down turn' and to assist with economic recovery, is the need to secure the delivery of a range of economic and infrastructure initiatives. These include, for example, the Leeds City Region Transport Vision through the procurement and implementation of the following schemes/interventions:*
 - *Incremental upgrade to core radial bus routes, procurement of new vehicles, bus priority etc*
 - *Phase 1 of NGT (potentially Stourton and city centre)*
 - *Additional parking at railway stations*
 - *Extra 100 rail carriages (as per Rail White Paper)*
 - *A65 Quality Bus Corridor*
 - *Bus based park and ride at M1 Junction 45*
 - *Bus based park and ride on M621 South-West Corridor*
 - *East Leeds Parkway (new railway station by 2014)*
 - *New station at Woodside Quarry including Park & Ride (post 2014)*
 - *New station at Apperley Bridge including Park & Ride (2011)*
 - *New station at Kirkstall Forge (2011)*
 - *Expansion of the Metrocard to cover the City Region*
 - *Green travel plans, integrated / smart ticketing and revised parking policy, walking and cycling measures*
 - *Junction improvements to the A6120 outer ring road;*
 - *Minor changes to the city centre road network;*
 - *Motorway capacity improvements (between M1 junctions 35-42 and M62 junctions 25-29;*
 - *Implementation of the Yorcard.*
- *The above short term strategy needs to have regard to the Yorkshire & Humber economy as a whole, the inter relationships of sub areas both within and adjacent to the region, together with the settlement hierarchy and travel to work dynamics at an individual sub regional and city region level.*
- *The RSS Update therefore needs to maintain a focus upon supporting investment, in building confidence in the regeneration of existing communities and integrated strategies for 'growth', for which infrastructure is planned now (to help facilitate and direct a future economic upturn). If the RSS Update continues to focus on housing growth at the exclusion of the above factors, it is not only undeliverable but not credible.*

QUESTION 7

Do you think the Plan's Core Approach could accommodate higher levels of house building through to 2026?

- *The fundamental basis of the approach (and assumptions used) need to be re-evaluated in the light of current market circumstances, to assess needs and longer term implications.*

If yes, which level(s) of growth could be accommodated (Question 1).

If no, please tell us which Spatial Option (s) you think should be used in order to deliver higher levels of house building.

Accommodating Growth in the Sub – Areas

QUESTION 8

To what extent can the current strategy deliver current house building rates in this sub area ?

- *Without dramatic improvements to the economy & provision of infrastructure, the targets are unrealistic (notwithstanding concerns regarding detrimental impact upon environmental capacity).*

QUESTION 9

To what extent can the current strategy deliver higher house building rates in this sub area ?

- *Not possible – given major challenge with current rates.*

QUESTION 10

Which Spatial Option or combination of Spatial Options do you think provide sufficient guidance for Local Authorities to determine broad locations for where further house building should be located ?

- *Need to delivery major regeneration opportunities within Leeds (including Aire Valley) as a priority, these should not be compromised by urban expansion,*
- *Existing and new development needs to be in accessible locations well served by public transport, major constraints given capacity of existing heavy rail network*
- *Need to examine more explicitly, the roles and interrelationships of the settlement pattern across the region, to achieve a more balanced approach and one that recognises the dynamic operation of a 21st century economy. Within this context, a City Region approach needs to be developed further.*
- *The Update considers that unless an area has a national ‘environmental’ designation (such as a National Park or Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty), it is less valued in environmental terms. Such an approach fails to recognise the wider significance of ‘environmental capital’ and ‘environmental justice’. Quality of place and a ‘clean’, ‘green’ environment and resource efficiency are especially important in highly populated areas (where the Update proposes further growth). Not to fully recognise the significance of these factors is inconsistent with the Plan’s own Core Approach, which seeks to promote sustainable development across the region. Open land (with or without national designation) is vital for green infrastructure, recreation, amenity and for water storage (to help combat flooding). In addition, within urban locations, such areas are especially important for urban cooling (in the context of climate change).*

Gypsies, Travellers & Travelling Show People

QUESTION 27

In thinking about additional accommodation (pitches) how much emphasis would you put on each of the following approaches. Please rate each on a scale of 1 – 5 with 1 being the least important and 5 being the most important factor in shaping distribution of additional pitches

'Meeting need where it arises' 4

A more even spread (with or without specifying that every authority should make some provision) 5

Access to services such as schools and health facilities 4

Avoiding environmental constraints e.g. areas of flood risk or nature conservation sites 4

QUESTION 28

Are there particular instances where need for additional provision arises in one local authority, but where all, or part, of that need should be met in neighbouring local authority areas ? If yes, please specify the areas concerned and say why this is the case.

The uneven pattern of existing Gypsy Traveller pitches and the historical failure of some local authorities to make any local provision, has led in part to the pattern of additional demand reflecting the existing provision. There is a clear need for any new provision to address the equity of provision. In this context a sub-regional or regional approach would be appropriate.

QUESTION 29

Some parts of the wider Travelling community have established patterns of travel for work and social/cultural reasons for which the accommodation on the more permanent sites may not be appropriate.

- **Should transit be identified separately from residential provision or is mixed provision on the same site desirable ?**

The West Yorkshire GTAA has indicated a preference from the community for inclusion of transit provision on the same site as residential provision. However such mixed provision would potentially create significant management issues on such sites.

- **What level of provision is needed for transit purposes ?**

The WY GTAA has indicated a potential sub regional need for 32 pitches.

- **What form should transit provision take ?**

Transit provision needs to be planned, as part of wider provision and tightly managed and controlled to ensure use is maximised.

- **What should be the main reason for the distribution of transit accommodation ?**

To reduce the impact of unauthorised encampment and to provide an equitable distribution of transit provision nationally. If developed within a sub-regional or regional context, good practice indicates that it needs to be linked with protocols with partner agencies particularly the police in respect of S62 CJPOA 1994.

QUESTION 30

What date should policy H6 go up to ? On what basis should longer term estimates be made ?

Ideally, the forecasts for Gypsies & Travellers should be consistent with that for general housing, i.e. to 2026. The West Yorkshire Gypsy & Traveller Accommodation Assessment (GTAA) sets out needs for general sites, transit sites and Travelling Showpeople sites up to 2015 with further predictions 2016-2026 (not including Transit Sites) as a one page add-on in appendix G. The RSS Update might also set requirements for the two periods.

QUESTION 31

What process should be introduced to ensure that estimates and associated planning documents/policies are updated ?

The RSS Update shouldn't merely reproduce national guidance which already expects GTAAs to be regularly updated and local authorities to address Gypsy & Traveller and Travelling Showpeople's accommodation needs through LDF documents.

This page is intentionally left blank



Report of the Director of City Development

Development Plan Panel

Date: 6 January 2009

Subject: Leeds LDF Area Action Plans – Progress Report

Electoral Wards Affected: All

Specific Implications For:

Equality and Diversity

Community Cohesion

Narrowing the Gap

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. An integral part of the Leeds LDF Local Development Scheme, is the preparation of Area Action Plans for the City Centre, the West Leeds Gateway, Aire Valley Leeds and East and South East Leeds (Easel). The purpose of these Plans is to provide a land use and spatial planning framework, in managing 'key areas of change' within the City. Members will recall that each of these Plans have been subject to "Preferred Options" consultation. The purpose of this report is to update members on overall progress and further technical work underway, to prepare submission documents for independent examination. It should be noted that the timetable for the production of these documents will need to be reviewed, as part of future revisions to the Local Development Scheme.

1.0 Purpose Of This Report

- 1.1 To advise and update Development Plan Panel members on overall progress and further technical work underway, to prepare submission documents for independent examination.

2.0 Background Information

- 2.1 Area Action Plans (AAP's) are being prepared as part of the Local Development Framework (LDF) for Leeds. They are statutory Plans, which will set out the Council's future planning policy within areas of major change. They focus on the implementation of development proposals and provide a mechanism for ensuring development is of an appropriate scale, location, mix and quality.
- 2.2 As statutory plans they are prepared under a process prescribed by national regulations. City Centre, the West Leeds Gateway, Aire Valley Leeds and East & South East Leeds (EASEL) AAP's are being prepared under the following timetable:
- Informal consultation stage (Issues & Alternative Options)
 - Preferred Options stage
 - Submission stage
 - Public Examination
 - Adoption
- 2.3 Following the successful completion of Issues & Alternative Options and Preferred Options consultation, work is now underway to prepare draft Plans for submission. Taking these Plans forward has been both an opportunity and a challenge. This is due not only to the planning, development and regeneration issues within the individual areas themselves but also as a consequence of changes to national planning guidance and the need for comprehensive technical work necessary to underpin the "soundness" of the Plans under preparation. Within this context, the following section provides a summary of progress to date and next steps.

3.0 Progress to Date & Next Steps

City Centre AAP

Progress to date

- 3.1 The City Centre Area Action Plan (CCAAP) underwent two years of preparation and informal consultation leading up to publication of Preferred Options in April 2007. As a conclusion to this stage of plan preparation, summaries of responses received were made and placed on the City Council website. Within the context of other priorities across the LDF, resources have been temporarily redeployed to develop the LDF Core Strategy to Preferred Options stage and to project manage the Strategic Housing Land Assessment (SHLAA).

Next Steps

- 3.2 Work is due to recommence on the CCAAP when the Core Strategy has been progressed to Preferred Options and upon completion of the SHLAA or otherwise when staff resources allow. In the meantime, it is expected that a number of policy areas of the CCAAP will have strategic applicability to be 'absorbed' into the Core Strategy. This will leave a number of key policy areas, which are spatially specific to

Leeds city centre to be taken forward through the CCAAP. Central to this work also will be the need to prepare a submission Plan within the context of:

- the City Centre Vision / Prospectus
- the Adopted RSS (May 2008) and Update
- the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment and Flood Alleviation Scheme
- the need to fully assess city centre transportation & accessibility issues (including the One Way Loop, south of the River Aire)

3.3 At this stage it is envisaged that the “submission” version of the CCAAP will be prepared alongside or after the Core Strategy.

West Leeds Gateway

Progress to Date

3.4 The AAP has now gone through two key stages of public consultation and good progress is now being made in amending the Plan for a final period of consultation and, following this, its formal submission to the Secretary of State. The AAP will then be subject to independent Examination by a Government appointed Inspector. It is currently anticipated that pre submission consultation on the AAP will be held in spring 2009, with Submission to the Secretary of State in late summer 2009, in accordance with the timetable set out in the Local Development Scheme. However, this timetable is dependant upon satisfactory progress being made in a number of key areas, as explained below.

3.5 Members will recall that at its meeting on 10th June 2008, a progress report was presented to the Panel which summarised the consultation responses to the AAP following publication of the Preferred Options in February 2008. That report explained that refinements to the Plan were required on the following areas:

1. The scale of proposed demolitions to the system-built housing in New Wortley and the related matter of the number of properties to be included in the housing decency programme. This matter is currently being addressed in consultation with West North West Homes.
2. An assessment of the future role of Armley Mills, the home of Leeds Industrial Museum, to determine its potential to accommodate an additional use(s) within the Mill complex. It is intended that any such new use(s) must complement the Museum and boost its potential as a visitor attraction, as well as help strengthen pedestrian links to Kirkstall across the River. This assessment is dependant upon the Council’s museums Service being able to release space and, with this in mind, a full audit of the Museum’s collection is now underway. In addition to this, and as a basis to inform future options, informal (and without prejudice meetings) are to take place in January 2009 with representatives of the development industry, who have a good track record of regenerating historic buildings. This will help the Council to reach a conclusion about development options and the kind of use(s) that would be commercially deliverable but which would also be acceptable in planning and environmental terms.
3. A further key area which requires refinement relates to delivery and implementation. The importance of this is emphasised in the new Development Plans System and it is an area which will be closely examined

at the Plan's submission. This part of the AAP will therefore explain the way in which the proposals will be implemented, providing details on:

- The level and sources of funding needed to support the intended actions
- Lead agency for implementing each policy/proposal
- A timetable for establishing how and when the proposals contained in the Plan will be taken forward successfully
- Refined spatial objectives with clear outputs, outcomes, targets and indicators

A Strategic Delivery and Investment Plan is therefore currently being drawn together with the help of colleagues in the Regeneration Service (Environments & Neighbourhoods) and from the Renaissance Unit.

4. There is a need to review and agree the priorities for investing in the AAP's greenspace following completion of the PPG17 Audit in January 2009.

5. A further area of work relates to assessing the transport implications of the AAP and, in particular, how the 'barrier' of the gyratory for pedestrian movement can be overcome or ameliorated.

3.6 It is intended that these streams of work will be concluded by early February, to enable pre submission consultation to take place in late spring. A report will be presented to Development Plan Panel (and Executive Board) prior to consultation, to allow Members to make any adjustments to it prior to publication.

Aire Valley Leeds AAP

Progress to Date

3.7 Preparation of the Aire Valley (AVL) Area Action Plan has been underway since 2005 and moved to "Preferred Options stage in October 2007. In taking forward specific policies and proposals, the LDF process requires a robust evidence base to demonstrate that schemes within it are capable of delivery. Central to this, in terms of the AVL AAP, has been the production of a financial model, to enable testing of a number of development scenarios. The key purpose of this is to establish the full potential of the area and to assist in the development of a funding / delivery plan for key infrastructure.

1. Financial model

Consultants Jacobs were commissioned by the City Council and English Partnerships to establish infrastructure costs, potential developer contributions and to develop a financial model which could be used to establish development land values based on varying infrastructure assumptions and development scenarios. Jacobs engaged Knight Frank to provide input on commercial valuation aspects. Whilst a significant amount of information was amassed on development values, infrastructure costs and related issues, further work has been necessary to refine development assumptions. Consequently, a small working group of officers (City Development, Environment and Neighbourhoods and English Partnerships) with a detailed working knowledge of the area, has been established to assess development costs, land values and to derive realistic estimates of infrastructure requirements and responsibility for their delivery. The main focus of the work has been the development of a new financial model which is able to test different scenarios or mixes of land-uses. The range of scenarios to be tested include the UDP proposals and current planning consents; the proposed uses set out in the

AAP Preferred Options, and a range of other uses based on more recent developer expectations. The model will enable the size of any gap between costs and values to be estimated. In particular, the model can be used to test the impact of introducing higher value uses such as residential development. Subsequent consideration can then be given to how any gaps between costs and development value can be bridged. The model is also being used to indicate how the estimated costs of strategic infrastructure should be allocated between the public and private sectors and the level of public intervention which may be required. Further work will be required to establish land remediation and abnormal site development costs in conjunction with landowners and developers.

2. Other Work Themes

- Investigative work has been undertaken as part of a Core Cities initiative to explore the potential for identifying AVL as an Accelerated Development Zone (ADZ). The ADZ concept is designed to tackle the funding gap for enabling infrastructure and could allow Local Authorities more financial freedom to facilitate future growth by using the “growth dividend” in the development in order to fund the required upfront infrastructure.
- The AVL project team was also involved in facilitating the submission of evidence in support of Leeds’ bid for housing growth point status. Whilst this was unsuccessful, officers are now involved in the more recent bid for an “Urban Eco new settlement” based on the (lower) Aire Valley. An initial case has been submitted with three other local authorities (Bradford, Kirklees and York). Work is currently ongoing within the City Region to identify which one of these locations should be fast tracked as a ‘trailblazer’ for a national/ international eco exemplar community. Consultants Grimley’s, have been appointed to assess which of these locations has the greatest prospect for early delivery. They are due to complete their report in late January 2009, and an announcement is anticipated on the Urban Eco settlement in April.
- A transport model has been produced within the AVL project team to help testing scenarios and to assist in negotiations with the Highways Agency and other stake holders.
- A draft Sequential Test has been carried out to demonstrate that there are no reasonably available sites in the area with a lower probability of flooding which could be developed instead of those identified. This has been agreed in principle with the Environment Agency, and demonstrates that it is possible to deliver the development identified in the Preferred Options subject to resolution of surface water run off issues at Skelton Moor Farm.
- The Leeds Flood Alleviation Scheme (FAS) also has implications for AVL and the project team is providing input to ensure that the scheme respects the overall amenity and potential of the AVL corridor.
- The AVL continues to engage in partnership working, on several fronts including the Aire Valley Investors Forum, meetings with landowners and stakeholders including “Aire Action”, a partnership group all of whom have major interests in the river Aire.
- Regardless of the outcome of the urban eco settlement the potential for this area to be identified as an exemplar for sustainable development is being fully explored. Progress on this and other work themes in connection with delivering

the AVLAAP and will be reviewed by the AVL Project Board to ensure corporate ownership of the AAP proposals.

- Waste Management is also an important theme within the Aire Valley and the Residual Waste Management Project is progressing, following the recent identification of 10 bidders, which need to be reduced to two. The Aire Valley Project team will be instrumental in the new year in the analytical process and evaluation of bids and sites.

Next Steps

- 3.8 Further work is in hand to refine and confirm the inputs to the financial model. In particular, more information is required on land remediation costs, which are currently based on partial or broad-brush estimates. Any amendments made to the land uses outlined in the preferred options of the AAP must be reliable and credible if the plan is to be judged sound. Discussions with landowners continue and it is intended that the project team will share the results of scenario testing with them. The Investors Forum is clearly a key platform for discussion, along with meetings with individual owners and developers.

When the development options have been reviewed it will be necessary to revise the AAP document. This will include ensuring that the objectives are specific to the Aire Valley and in line with Government Office advice, and reflecting greater emphasis on sustainable development within AVL.

East & South East Leeds (EASEL)

Progress to Date

- 3.9 Formal consultation took place on the EASEL Preferred Options during 2007 and identified a number of key issues for development within the EASEL area. These are summarised briefly as follows:
- Concern regarding the potential scale of demolitions and potential impact on house values,
 - Need for sufficient affordable and social rented housing,
 - Need for improvements and new development before further decline,
 - Improved methods of publicity and consultation,
 - Greenspace improvements,
 - Dealing with flood risk,
 - Improved public transport routes and services,
 - Anti- social behaviour, particularly noise, litter and vandalism.
- 3.10 Progression of the AAP towards deposit stage has required further consideration of how each of these aspects can be dealt with through the AAP and the EASEL Regeneration Initiative in order to develop a satisfactory evidence base for the AAP. Work is ongoing in the following areas:

1. Scale of development and potential demolition

The AAP Preferred Options outlined proposals for some 7,800 new homes and potentially involved the replacement of up to 2,400 homes through negotiation and acquisition, with additional potential on mixed use sites. Sites were grouped into three potential redevelopment phases as a guide to residents on both the scale and timing of redevelopment. The scale of potential demolition caused immense concern to residents despite assurances that detailed masterplanning would be

carried out to determine site boundaries and that no specific properties were being identified for demolition beyond those already identified within the East North East Leeds Almo Business Plan. There were concerns that the proposals could lead to planning blight and failure to sell property or carry out improvements. Discussions have taken place with Government Office to ascertain the level of detail required in terms of housing numbers and phasing within the AAP. They appreciate the difficulty in identifying longer term development sites, both in terms of lack of certainty regarding development prospects and the understandable concern of residents living within the sites. They are therefore of the view that it is necessary to indicate specific housing sites to cover only the first 10 years of the plan. These will comprise of sites already vacant, cleared or in the process of being cleared. For the longer term (10 - 20 years) it is their informal view that it will only be necessary to indicate broad locations for future regeneration. Consideration now needs to be given to how this will be shown on the submission plans. Furthermore, given the uncertainty regarding the number of houses likely to be built and the need for the AAP to be flexible it has been suggested that the number of properties be expressed as a range of proposed houses within each of the communities.

2. EASEL drainage strategy

In recent years parts of East Leeds have suffered major flooding, from watercourses, sewers, highway drains and overland flow; some properties have been affected a number of times. Significant development within the EASEL area is capable of increasing the existing flood risk, however, if sensitively developed, new development could make a major contribution to resolving flooding problems. Consultants Jeremy Benn Associates have been appointed by the City Council to develop a strategic approach to draining the development areas identified in EASEL AAP and further developments which may come forward within these communities. The strategy will also involve identifying the means of attenuating surface water run-off and suitable locations for siting any attenuation devices (tanks, ponds, detention basins etc); identifying safe overland routes for exceedance flows i.e. the additional flows that arise from rainfall events beyond the design standard of the drainage infrastructure, and will provide cost estimates for the measures recommended in the strategy and identify proposed adoption, maintenance and management strategies. It is anticipated that this study will be complete by mid March 2009.

3. EASEL Transport Strategy

Consultants Mouchel have been appointed to analyse transport and connectivity issues within with EASEL area and connectivity with the City Centre and Aire Valley Leeds. This includes identifying both opportunities and barriers in delivering a range of improvements which will affect the existing communities and future regeneration plans. The consultants will advise on a preferred transport strategy for improving the sustainability and accessibility of transport and movement in the EASEL area. This involves establishing the growth in travel demand based on a number of development scenarios and reviewing the transport interventions set out in the AAP Preferred Options. The Options will then be adjusted as deemed necessary and will form the basis of a delivery plan. It is envisaged that this will focus on targeted public transport service improvements and improvements in the current cycling network. Completion is anticipated at the end of February 2009.

4 .EASEL and Aire Valley Town and Local Centre Assessment

Consultants White Young Green have been appointed to provide an overview of town, local and neighbourhood parades in the EASEL area and communities adjoining Aire Valley Leeds and to advise on a strategy for development of town centre uses within these communities. The strategy will include specific advice on the scope to extend/upgrade Seacroft and Harehills Lane centres, provision of retail

facilities in the vicinity of Great Clothes, York Road, Richmond Hill and advice on the scale and location of new facilities to serve development within the AVL AAP area. Survey work to establish existing shopping patterns and perceptions of centres forms an important part of the study and has involved householder, shopper (on-street) and local business surveys. The householder survey involved 750 households across the catchment area. Within this context, 550 shopper surveys were carried out in Cross Gates, Halton, Harehills Corner, Harehills Lane, Hunslet, Seacroft and a selection of smaller centres. Local business surveys have been carried out for approximately 1,000 businesses within centres in the study area and 430 businesses within the Aire Valley. The results are expected by mid January 2009.

5. Improved greenspace

Work is underway to review and agree priorities for greenspace improvements and potential remodeling of greenspace areas following anticipated completion of the PPG17 audit in January 2009.

Next Steps

- 3.11 Over the course of the next two years Neighbourhood Plans are to be prepared for each of the EASEL communities led by colleagues in Environment and Neighbourhoods, in tandem with preparation of the AAP. This closer working with the community will involve more detailed public consultation and a finer grained assessment of redevelopment opportunities within sites.
- 3.12 Development is underway within two of the allocation sites at Oaktree Drive, Gipton and Parkway Vale, Seacroft, subsequent to the successful redevelopment of Amberton Park in Gipton. Following the establishment of a Joint Venture Company with Bellway in November 2008, further work is now underway to facilitate the transfer of sites to private developers. Affordable housing will be delivered through normal Section 106 arrangements and equity loan schemes.
- 3.13 Further work is now required to establish a delivery and implementation programme for each of the proposals in the AAP. Clearly the Joint Venture with Bellway will be an important aspect of this, however other sources of funding, e.g. PCT, Education Leeds and other sources of private sector and public sector funding will play an important role in delivery of the AAP.
- 3.14 The timetable for the AAP is currently being reviewed. It is anticipated that the majority of work to establish a firm evidence base will be completed by the end of March 2008. The plan will then be reformatted prior to a further round of pre submission consultation in summer 2009. The AAP will be presented to the Development Plan Panel and Executive Board prior to further consultation allowing members to make any adjustments prior to publication.

4.0 Legal and resource implications

- 4.1 Once adopted (following Independent Examination), the Area Action Plans will form part of the Development Plan for these areas. The on going preparation of LDF documents is challenging both in staff resourcing and also the need to prepare technical work to be consistent with changing national and regional guidance.

5.0 Implications for council policy and governance

5.1 None

6.0 Conclusions

6.1 This report has provided an overview of progress to date and next steps in relation to the preparation of the LDF Area Action Plans. Whilst good progress is being made the need to meet the ongoing requirements of the evidence base and regulatory requirements of the LDF process remains a challenging. The preparation of detailed Area Action Plans for the above areas has highlighted that their production is a very resource intensive and complex process. Continued work is therefore necessary to complete and where necessary review the work currently underway, to ensure that the emerging Plans are both 'fit for purpose' and sound.

7.0 Recommendations

7.1 The Development Plan Panel is asked to note the progress and next steps in relation to the preparation of the LDF Area Action Plans and the next stages in production of the submission drafts.

This page is intentionally left blank